

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,352	12/11/2003	Matt D. Pursley	PUR-020	3757
26821	7590 03/28/2006		EXAMINER	
THOMPSON & THOMPSON, P.A.			TENTONI, LEO B	
P.O BOX 166 SCANDIA, KS 66966			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
bernvent, i			1732	
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/735,352	PURSLEY, MATT D.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Leo B. Tentoni	1732				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHI WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 F</u>	ebruary 2006.					
-	·	action is non-final.					
′	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,5,6,24,28,29,31,32,36,37 and 51</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>2, 3, 5, 6, 24, 28, 29, 31, 32, 36, 37 and 51</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	· ·					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	;d .				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/735,352 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 28, 29, 5, 6, 24, 36, 37, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bourrieres (U.S. Patent 4,878,984 A) for the reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 3 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bourrieres (U.S. Patent 4,878,984 A) as applied to claims 28, 29, 5, 6, 24, 36, 37, 31 and 32 above, and further in view of Goldsworthy et al (U.S. Patent 3,701,489 A) for the reasons of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,352

Art Unit: 1732

Response to Arguments

Page 3

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed on 08 February 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. Applicant argues (page 9) that the guide assembly (i.e., forks 21a, 21b) of Bourrieres functions in a substantially different way from the guide assembly in the instantly-claimed invention. Examiner responds that a comparison of Figure 3 of Bourrieres and Figure 15 of the instant application shows that the guide assembly of Bourrieres does function in substantially the same way as the guide assembly in the instantly-claimed invention. Furthermore, the terms "generally parallel" and "generally perpendicular" do not mean exactly parallel or exactly perpendicular. Therefore, Bourrieres (or any other reference) does not have to teach planes and/or orientations which a re exactly parallel or exactly perpendicular.
- 7. Applicant argues (pages 10 and 11) that none of the cited references are directed to making catheters. Examiner responds that the aspect of making a catheter is in the preamble of the instant claims (in particular, independent claims 28, 36 and 51), the body of the claims fully set forth all of the limitations of the claimed invention, and the preamble is a statement of purpose or intended use which is not considered a claim limitation and is of no significance to claim construction

- - -

Art Unit: 1732

(<u>Pitney Bowes, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</u>, 182 F.3d 1298, 1305, 51 USPQ2d 1161, 1165 (Fed. Cir. 1999)).

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo B. Tentoni whose telephone number is (571) 272-1209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30 A.M. - 3:00 P.M.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Colaianni

Application/Control Number: 10/735,352 Page 5

Art Unit: 1732

can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Teo B. Tenton

Leo B. Tentoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

lbt